## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

: Civil Action No. <u>02-CV-4615</u>					
-					
: : Hon. Eduardo C. Robreno					
: :					
_					
Y VERDICT SHEET					
nan Model 38094 hammer was defective					
nt warning system, whether the hammer					
ise, or lacked any element necessary to					
[If you have answered "yes", continue to question 2. If you have answered "no", please					
return to the courtroom; your verdict is for the defendant.]					
Craftsman Model 38094 hammer was a					
ion 3. If you have answered "no", please					
defendant.]					

	3.	State the percentage of causal respe	onsibility attributed	d to each party who	
manuf	acture	d, distributed, designed and/or sold the	Craftsman Model	38094 hammer. Your	
answe	er must	total 100%.			
		Vaughan & Bushnell Manufacturing Co.		%	
		Sears, Roebuck & Co.		%	
		TOTAL		%	
	[Proceed to Question #4.]				
	4.	What sum of money will fairly and	reasonably compo	ensate Plaintiff, Scott	
Gangl	off, for	damages and injuries that he suffered	as a result of th	e defective Craftsman	
Model	38094	hammer?			
	A.	Past and Future Pain, Suffering, Disabili Embarrassment, Impairment, and Loss of Enjoyment of Life?	ty, Humiliation,	\$	
	В.	Past and Future Medical Expenses?		\$	
			DAMAGES:	\$	
			JURY FOREPERSON		